

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/271,502	03/18/1999	TAKASHI HONDA	450100-4811 4228	
20999	20999 7590 12/02/2003		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			TRAN, THAI Q	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2615	11
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	. ( /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/271,502	HONDA, TAKASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thai Tran	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	eptember 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 37-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 37-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 1999 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	≥ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the service of	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) st sentence of the specification or povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 37-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10, 13-14, 37-39, and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Yamagami discloses a recording/reproducing apparatus (Figs. 1-2) comprising:

image pickup means (101 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph nos. 0023 and 0024) for generating a picked-up-image signal;

first writing means (108 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph no. 0025) for writing the picked-up-image signal on a first removable recording medium;

reading means (108 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph no. 0026) for reading an image signal from said first recording medium;

Art Unit: 2615

second writing means (118 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph no. 0030) for writing the image signal read by said reading means on a second removable recording medium; and

control means (115 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph no. 0030) for controlling recording/reproducing between said first and second recording medium.

Regarding claim 2, Yamagami also discloses the claimed identification-information detecting means (the file name disclosed in page 2, paragraph no. 0028 and page 4, paragraph no. 0061); wherein said control means performs control in accordance with detected identification information (page 4, paragraph no. 0061).

Regarding claim 3, Yamagami discloses the claimed identification-information recording means (the file name disclosed in page 2, paragraph no. 0028) for recording identification information together with the picked-up-image signal on said first recording medium when the picked-up-image signal is recorded on said first recording medium as a still image; and

identification-information detecting means (page 4, paragraph no. 0061) for detecting identification information of the image signal read from said first recording medium, wherein

said control means controls said second writing means to write the image signal read by said reading means on said second recording medium only when identification information has been detected by said identification-information detecting means (page 4, paragraph #0061).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 4, Yamagami discloses the claimed wherein said control means performs control to cause said reading means to collectively read image signals and said second writing means to collectively write the image signals on said second recording medium (page 2, paragraph no. 0030; page 3, paragraph no. 0048; and page 4, paragraph #0062).

Regarding claim 5, Yamagami discloses the claimed wherein said second writing means is able to write the picked-up-image signal on said second recording medium (page 2, paragraph no. 0030; page 3, paragraph no. 0048; and page 4, paragraph #0062).

Regarding claim 10, Yamagami discloses the claimed wherein said control means is able to switch the mode between a first mode in which said reading means collectively reads image signals and said second writing means collectively writes the read image signals on said second recording medium and a second mode in which said reading means reads image signals one by one and said second writing means, one by one, writes the read image signals on said second recording medium (page 2, paragraph no. 0030; page 3, paragraph no. 0048; and page 4, paragraph #0062).

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above and, additionally, Yamagami also discloses the claimed converting means (106 and 117 of Fig. 1, page 2, paragraph nos. 0026 and 0030).

Regarding claim 14, Yamagami also disclosed the claimed wherein said converting means converts the image signal read by said first reading means to be

Art Unit: 2615

adaptable to a PCMCIA I/O or PCMCIA ATA I/F to supply the converted image signal to said second writing means (page 3, paragraph nos. 0037, 0038, and 0039).

Method claims 37-39 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 1-2 and 10 above.

Method claims 42-43 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 13-14 above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1).

Regarding claim 7, Yamagami discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above except for providing the claimed wherein said first recording medium is a tape-shape recording medium.

Yamagami teaches that the storage media such as a floppy disk, a hard disk, an optical disk, an photomagnetic disk, a CD-ROM, a magnetic tape, a nonvolatile memory card, a ROM, or the like can be used (page 2, paragraph no. 0026 and page 4, paragraph no. 0052).

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the tape-shape recording medium as taught by Yamagami into Fig. 1 of Yamagami since it merely amounts to selecting an alternative equivalent recording medium.

Regarding claim 8, Yamagami discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above except for providing the claimed wherein said second recording medium is a disc.

Yamagami teaches that the storage media such as a floppy disk, a hard disk, an optical disk, an photomagnetic disk, a CD-ROM, a magnetic tape, a nonvolatile memory card, a ROM, or the like can be used (page 2, paragraph no. 0026 and page 4, paragraph no. 0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the disc as taught by Yamagami into Fig. 1 of Yamagami since it merely amounts to selecting an alternative equivalent recording medium.

Regarding claim 9, Yamagami discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above except for providing the claimed wherein said second recording medium is a memory card.

Yamagami teaches that the storage media such as a floppy disk, a hard disk, an optical disk, an photomagnetic disk, a CD-ROM, a magnetic tape, a nonvolatile memory card, a ROM, or the like can be used (page 2, paragraph no. 0026 and page 4, paragraph no. 0052).

Art Unit: 2615

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the memory card as taught by Yamagami into Fig. 1 of Yamagami since it merely amounts to selecting an alternative equivalent recording medium.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1) in view of Spitzer et al (US 2001/0012067).

Yamagami discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claims 1 and 5 above and, additionally, Yamagami also discloses that the control means (the host computer 115) can select different modes of reading the images recorded on the first recording medium (page 2, paragraph no. 0030; page 3, paragraph no. 0048; and page 4, paragraph #0062). However, Yamagami does not specifically discloses the claimed wherein said recording/reproducing apparatus has an all-pixel reading mode (progressive mode) in which said image pickup means generated a picked-up image signal by reading all pixels and an interlace reading mode in which said image pickup means generates a picked-up-image signal by interlaced-reading.

Spitzer et al teaches a definition television camera, which minimizes the effect of dark current, reflective regions, contamination problems, improves the signal-to-noise ratio, and produce a variable frame rate without post-processing, can be operated in either progressive-scan mode or interlace-scan mode (the abstract) for generating a high resolution picture in a progressive format such that it is compatible with the proposed US HDTV standards for the progressive format, for transferring charge from the image region to the storage region during the brief blanking period while producing a

Art Unit: 2615

high-resolution image (page 2, paragraph nos. 0014, 0015, 0016, 0017, 0018, 0019, and 0020).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the camera as taught by Spitzer et al into Yamagami's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal by minimizes the effect of dark current, improves the signal-to-noise ratio, and etc..

7. Claims 11-12 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1) in view of Hong (US Patent No. 5,257,142).

Regarding claim 11, Yamagami discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein said control means causes said second writing means to interrupt writing an image signal on said second recording medium when said second recording medium is filled to capacity and communicates that said second recording medium has been filed to capacity.

Hong teaches a video cassette recorder having the capability of interrupting the writing of video signal on the recording medium when the recording medium is filled to capacity and communicating that the recording medium has been filed to capacity (col. 4, lines 23-43) to prevent at least a video signal in the television program content from discontinuity or interruption occurring by cassette replacement (col. 1, lines 41-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the capability of interrupting the writing of video signal on the recording medium when the recording medium is filled to capacity as taught by Hong in

Art Unit: 2615

order to prevent at least a video signal from discontinuity or interruption occurring by medium replacement.

Regarding claim 12, Hong also discloses the claimed wherein said control means causes said second writing means to restart writing when said second recording medium has been changed to a state in which writing on said second recording has been interrupted because said second recording medium has been filled to capacity and said changed second recording medium has an empty capacity (col. 4, line 23 to col. 5, line 7).

Method claims 40-41 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 11-12 above.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2615

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

**TTQ** 

•

Page 10